

**North Carolina Transmission Planning Collaborative  
Oversight / Steering Committee (OSC)  
Meeting Highlights  
January 30, 2006  
Duke Power Office – Charlotte, NC  
10:00 AM EST**

**Attendees:**

**Clay Norris, Chair**

**Art Hubert**

**Denise Roeder**

**Mark Byrd**

**Verne Ingersoll**

**Nina McLaurin**

**Bryan Guy**

**Bob Pierce**

**John Dalton**

**David Beam**

**Rich Wodyka**

**Pam Kozlowski (phone)**

**ElectriCities**

**ElectriCities**

**ElectriCities**

**Progress Energy**

**Progress Energy**

**Progress Energy**

**Progress Energy**

**Duke Power**

**Duke Power**

**NCEMC**

**Gestalt**

**Gestalt**

**1. ADMINISTRATIVE**

A. The OSC Chair called the meeting to order at 10:10 am.

B. Meeting Minutes and Highlights

- i. The Committee reviewed the highlights from the January 5, 2006 OSC meeting. Mr. Beam made a motion to approve the January 5, highlights as written, and Mr. Dalton seconded the motion. In a unanimous voice vote, the January 5, 2006 highlights were approved.

C. Transmission Cost Allocation Research

The Committee agreed to begin researching how transmission cost allocation issues are being addressed in other parts of the country. ElectriCities agreed to take the lead on this initial research and report findings back to the OSC.

**2. TAG Meeting Review**

The Committee was presented a preliminary list of names who had registered to attend the February 3, 2006 TAG meeting. The list was to be finalized January 31, 2006. The Committee reviewed all presentation materials that had been

drafted for the upcoming TAG meeting. OSC members provided editorial and clarification recommendations for the materials. Subject to the identified changes, all materials were endorsed by the OSC. Administrative details of the upcoming meeting were also reviewed.

### **3. PWG Update**

The Committee reviewed and finalized the submittal form for Enhanced Transmission Access Planning requests. It also reviewed the current plan and activity schedule.

The OSC was presented input that had been provided by Participants for setting up the base case and alternatives for the Reliability Plan Studies. There was much discussion about whether the PWG should use an aggregated modeling approach or a more detailed modeling approach for conducting the reliability studies. The OSC directed the PWG to proceed with the aggregated approach to set up its models. With this directive, the OSC asked the PWG to review the input from Participants and provide the OSC a final recommendation of the resource options to study.

There was additional discussion concerning how future load and generation resources would be addressed for later years of the planning period. Members of the PWG described the typical modeling approach of establishing the baseline with known or highly certain information, using generic assumptions to extrapolate to later years as needed.

The Committee revisited the development of documents for the Enhanced Transmission Access process. They agreed that no additional changes were required for the assumptions and criteria of that process, except for the selection of the Enhanced Access study requests yet to be received, reviewed, and selected. They directed the PWG to prepare a scope document for that process, once the Enhanced Access study requests have been selected for this year's study.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 pm.